1IN THE COUNTY COURT, IN AND FOR DUVAL COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE NO.: 16-2022-CC-016347

DIVISION: CC-N

KINGS TRAIL HOLDINGS, LLC, Plaintiff,

VS.

LATERA MITCHELL,

Defendant.

FINAL ORDER DETERMINING CONFIDENTIALITY OF COURT RECORDS, AND SEALING COURT RECORDS FROM PUBLIC EXAMINATION

THIS MATTER came before the Court on the Defendant's Unopposed Motion to

Determine Confidentiality of Court Records, and to Seal Court Records from Public

Examination. The Court, having reviewed the Joint Motion, and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, FINDS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. On November 23, 2022, this action was filed by Plaintiff alleging that Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff rent in a timely manner.
- 2. On December 8, 2022, Defendant responded to the instant action by filing her answer, defenses, as well as a motion to determine rent, disputing any rent owed and alleging that Plaintiff had not fulfilled all preconditions for filing eviction.
- 3. After several months of negotiations, Plaintiff and Defendant reached a full settlement in this action. The parties have also agreed that Plaintiff will not oppose a motion to determine confidentiality to avoid undue prejudice to Defendant from the existence of an eviction case filing, recognizing that that third parties, including consumer reporting agencies, misuse, abuse, and mishandle court records of eviction cases irrespective of outcome.
- 4. In civil cases, Fla. R. Jud. Admin. 2.420(e)(1) sets forth a three-part test for evaluating a motion to make court records confidential. The movant must: (1) identify the

particular court records or a portion of a record that the movant seeks to have determined as confidential with as much specificity as possible without revealing the information subject to the confidentiality determination; (2) specify the basis for determining that such court records are confidential without revealing confidential information; and (3) set forth the specific legal authority and any applicable legal standards for determining such court records to be confidential without revealing confidential information.

- 5. In this action, Defendant seeks to make the parties' names and identities, all docketed filings in this action and the entire "court record", including the progress docket and other electronic records of this action as maintained by the Clerk of Court that are publicly searchable via the Internet confidential.
- 6. In <u>Barron v. Florida Freedom Newspapers, Inc.</u>, 531 So. 2d 113, 118 (Fla. 1988), the Florida Supreme Court gave guidance to trial courts in evaluating whether a trial court should exercise its power to make certain civil proceedings confidential. The factors in <u>Barron</u> were later adopted with a slight expansion in Fla. R. Jud. Admin. 2.420(c)(9).
- 7. Fla. R. Jud. Admin. 2.420(c)(9) provides a court with the power to determine the confidentiality of any court record in individual cases, so long as confidentiality is required to accomplish one of seven possible interests, the order is not overbroad as it relates to protecting those interests, and no less restrictive means are available to protect those interests.

- 8. In considering these factors, the Court finds that confidentiality is required to prevent a serious and imminent threat to the fair, impartial, and orderly administration of justice, as misuse of court records creates public distrust in the court system and results in arbitrary or capricious actions when parties fail to utilize complete or accurate information derived from court records. See Fla. R. Gen. Prac. & Jud. Admin. 2.420(c)(9)(A)(i); see also Lauren Kirchner and Matthew Goldstein, How Automated Background Checks Freeze Out Renters, New York Times (May 28, 2020) https://www.nytimes.com/2020/05/28/business/ renters-background-checks.html. Defendant asserts she is at substantial risk of such arbitrary and capricious denial of future rental applications based upon the court records in this action.
- 9. The Court also finds that there are substantial risks to tenants, including Defendant, from the misuse of court records in eviction cases, deprived of their full context, by potential landlords and third-party tenant-screening companies. Specifically, tenant-screening companies use algorithms that scrape identifying data from electronic court records, such as the data here, and pass those reports to participating landlords or lenders without verifying the disposition of cases or reviewing the actual allegations or factual determinations therein. Connecticut Fair Housing Center v. CoreLogic Rental Property Solutions, LLC, 478 F.Supp.3d 259, 288-89 (D. Conn. 2020).
- 10. In this action, all disputes between the parties relating to this lawsuit have been fully and completely resolved.
- 11. There are no third parties who have an interest in the outcome of this action, which is for possession of a residential rental dwelling. There is no prejudice or harm that will occur to any third party if the court records in this action are made confidential.
- 12. The Court finds that changing the names of the parties and identity in the caption

and progress docket of the action as maintained by the Clerk of Court would be the least restrictive means to accomplish the goals of confidentiality set forth above. See Fla. R. Jud. Admin. 2.420(e)(3) ("Any order granting in whole or in part a motion . . . must state with as much specificity as possible without revealing the confidential information: . . . (C) whether any party's name determined to be confidential and, if so, the particular pseudonym or other term to be substituted for the party's name."). The Court further finds that the more restrictive seal of the entire "court record," as contemplated in Amended Administrative Order 2006-05, from physical or online search is the best and most appropriate means to accomplish the goals of confidentiality set forth above.

13. Notwithstanding the general confidentiality and seal of this action, the parties' attorneys, in addition to persons directly employed by Plaintiff, and Defendant in her individual capacity, should be permitted to view the confidential information after seal. See Fla. R. Jud. Admin. 2.420(e)(3)(F).

THEREFORE, it is **ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED**:

Defendant's Unopposed Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice, to Determine Confidentiality of Court Records, and to Seal Court Records from Public Examination is **GRANTED**.

- The Clerk of Court is directed to make the court record of this action confidential and seal it
 in compliance with Fourth Judicial Circuit Amended Administrative Order No. 2006-05 and
 as prescribed below.
- 2. The Clerk of Court shall change both Plaintiff and Defendant's names in the Clerk's progress docket and all other viewable electronic records to make Plaintiff and Defendant's names confidential, replacing any first name with "Case" and last name with "Confidential."
- 3. The Clerk of Court shall not record any document in this action in the official records of

Duval County, Florida, as no document in this action is subject to mandatory recording.

4. The Clerk of Court shall seal the entire "court record," including the progress docket and

other similar records generated to document activity in a case, all pleadings, notices, and

other documents filed in this case, and any other electronic records in this case. It is critical

that the progress docket is not made available on any public information system.

5. Any materials sealed pursuant to this Order shall be conditionally disclosed upon the Court's

entry of any subsequent order finding that such opening in necessary for the purposes of

judicial or governmental accountability or First Amendment rights.

6. Any materials sealed pursuant to this Order may otherwise be disclosed only to (a) any judge

of this Circuit for case-related reasons, (b) the Chief Judge, Administrative Judge, or their

designee, (c) to Plaintiff and Defendant, their lawful agents, or their attorneys of record, or

(d) by further order of the Court.

7. The Clerk of Court shall post a copy of this Order on the Clerk's website and in a "prominent

public location" in the Duval County Courthouse within 10 days of the rendition of this

Order, and the posted copy shall remain there for at least 30 days from the date it is first

posted. After the 30th day from the date this Order is posted and unless further ordered by the

Court, the Clerk of Court shall remove the posted copy of this Order.

DONE AND ORDERED in Jacksonville, Duval County, Florida on Monday, May 22,

2023.

Gary Flower, Judge

Gary Flower, 3ddge 16-2022-CC-016347-XXXX-MA 05/22/2023 02:32:42 PM

Copies to:

Bruce Kirkpatrick Eason beason@palmettolawfl.com

Mary Ellen DeVries mary.devries@jaxlegalaid.org housingpleadings@jaxlegalaid.org